Appendix C to:

A Theoretical Investigation to the Physical Constraints for Light Velocity in Empty Euclidian Space and first Consequences for Long-distance Physics.

Referred equations: (4), (5)

Derivation of the basic equations for light velocity.

Starting point of these derivations is the equality:

(4) $\Psi(\vec{r},t+\Delta t) \equiv \Psi(\vec{r}-\vec{c}(\vec{r},t)\Delta t,t)$

The n^{th} derivative to Δt is:

(C.1)
$$\frac{\partial^{n}\Psi}{\partial t^{n}}(\vec{r},t+\Delta t) \equiv (-\vec{c}(\vec{r},t)\bullet\nabla)^{n}\Psi(\vec{r}-\vec{c}(\vec{r},t)\Delta t,t)$$

where, as indicated, the operator ∇ operates on Ψ only and not on \vec{c} . In the limit for $\Delta t \to 0$:

(C.2)
$$\frac{\partial^{n} \Psi}{\partial t^{n}} \equiv (-\vec{c} \cdot \nabla)^{n} \Psi$$

where both \vec{c} and Ψ are dependent on (\vec{r},t) . For reasons of readability, this is from here on no longer written explicitly. The second derivative to t also can be derived by differentiating the n=1 case of equation (C.2) to t:

$$(C.3) \qquad \frac{\partial^{2}\Psi}{\partial t^{2}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(-\vec{c} \cdot \nabla \underline{\Psi} \right) = -\frac{\partial \vec{c}}{\partial t} \cdot \nabla \underline{\Psi} - \vec{c} \cdot \nabla \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} \right) = -\frac{\partial \vec{c}}{\partial t} \cdot \nabla \underline{\Psi} - \vec{c} \cdot \nabla \underline{\Psi} \right)$$

$$= -\frac{\partial \vec{c}}{\partial t} \cdot \nabla \underline{\Psi} + (\vec{c} \cdot \nabla \underline{)} \underline{\vec{c}} \cdot \nabla \underline{\Psi} + (\vec{c} \cdot \nabla \underline{)} \underline{\Psi}$$

Combination with the n=2 case of equation (C.2) shows that:

(C.4)
$$\frac{\partial \vec{c}}{\partial t} = (\vec{c} \cdot \nabla) \underline{\vec{c}}$$

which is the top of equation (5). Similarly, for the third derivative:

$$(C.5) \qquad \frac{\partial^{3}\Psi}{\partial t^{3}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial^{2}\Psi}{\partial t^{2}} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left((\vec{c} \cdot \nabla)^{2} \underline{\Psi} \right) = 2 \left(\frac{\partial \vec{c}}{\partial t} \cdot \nabla \right) (\underline{\vec{c} \cdot \nabla}) \underline{\Psi} + (\vec{c} \cdot \nabla)^{2} \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} \right) = 2 \left(\frac{\partial \vec{c}}{\partial t} \cdot \nabla \right) (\underline{\vec{c} \cdot \nabla}) \underline{\Psi} + (\vec{c} \cdot \nabla)^{2} (\underline{\vec{c} \cdot \nabla}) \underline{\Psi} + (\vec{c} \cdot \nabla$$

so that, according to equation (C.4):

$$(C.6) \qquad \frac{\partial^3 \Psi}{\partial t^3} = -(\vec{c} \bullet \nabla)^2 \underline{\vec{c}} \bullet \nabla \underline{\Psi} - (\vec{c} \bullet \nabla)^3 \underline{\Psi}$$

Combination with the n=3 case of equation (C.2) shows that:

(C.7)
$$(\vec{c} \cdot \nabla)^2 \vec{c} = 0$$

which is the bottom of equation (5).

It still has to be shown, that the different ways of deriving $\partial^n \Psi / \partial t^n$ are equivalent also for n>3. Assuming that to be true up to and including a given value of n, we derive for the n+1 case, keeping in mind equation (C.7):

$$\begin{split} (C.8) \qquad & \frac{\partial^{n+1}\Psi}{\partial t^{n+1}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial^{n}\Psi}{\partial t^{n}} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left((-\vec{c} \bullet \nabla)^{\underline{n}} \underline{\Psi} \right) = -n \left(\frac{\partial \vec{c}}{\partial t} \bullet \nabla \right) \underline{(-\vec{c} \bullet \nabla)^{n-1}} \underline{\Psi} + (-\vec{c} \bullet \nabla)^{\underline{n}} \underline{(\partial^{n}\Psi)} \\ & = -n \left(\frac{\partial \vec{c}}{\partial t} \bullet \nabla \right) \underline{(-\vec{c} \bullet \nabla)^{n-1}} \underline{\Psi} + (-\vec{c} \bullet \nabla)^{\underline{n}} \underline{(-\vec{c} \bullet \nabla)^{\underline{n}}} \underline{(-\vec{c} \bullet \nabla)^{\underline{n}-1}} \underline{\Psi} + (-\vec{c} \bullet \nabla)^{\underline{n}-1} \underline{\Psi} +$$

Again using equation (C.4), this indeed verifies the n+1 case of equation (C.2) so that it is true for any value of n. Consequently, the representation of equation (4) is mathematically possible.